

**Supplement to the agenda for**

# **Cabinet**

**Thursday 22 June 2023**

**2.30 pm**

**Herefordshire Council Offices, Plough Lane, Hereford, HR4  
0LE**

	<b>Pages</b>
<b>4. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC</b> To receive questions from members of the public.	3 - 8
<b>5. QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLORS</b> To receive questions from councillors.	9 - 10



## PUBLIC QUESTIONS TO CABINET – 22 June 2023

**Question 1****Ms Reid, Hereford****To: Cabinet Member, children and young people**

Quarter 4 Budget & Performance Report discloses a £9.6 million overspend on the children's directorate blaming: "... increases in unit cost prices, inflation and rising demand for placements [eg fostering] and transport services in 2022/23."

There is a similar paragraph in the unaudited Statement of Accounts 2022-23 (A&G Committee meeting, 23/6/2023). The latest LAIT statistics (31/3/2022) show that the rate of children in care in Herefordshire was about twice that of its Statistical Neighbours' average (number since increased).

Per FOI2022/01890 the average weekly costs for each child (12/1/2023) were:

- Fostering: £315
- Fostering agencies: £890
- Children's homes: £5,066

The net base budget for 2023-24 for Looked-After Children is over £28.7 million (Cabinet meeting, 26/1/23). In what ways (with deadlines/dates) will the number of children in care in Herefordshire be reduced/minimised so that the children's directorate's budget is not overspent in 2023-24?

**Response**

Work began in the last financial year to begin to reduce the numbers of children in our care; stimulate the local placements market; and to develop our commissioning arrangements. Together this activity is contributing to improved support and outcomes for families, and a reduction on the pressures on the children's services budgets through achieving best value and having a greater range and availability of homes for children who come into our care.

The need for each child to come into our care or to be returned home from having been in our care has to be carefully considered and be in the best interest of the individual child. It would not be appropriate to set specific targets or deadlines to reduce the number of children in our care. The numbers of children in our care are also affected by other external factors such as providing care for unaccompanied asylum seeking children through the national transfer scheme and we are proud of Herefordshire's contribution to this important national work.

There are a number of initiatives already in progress including work to increase capacity to ensure children and families at the "edge of care" are well supported; activity to support children and families for whom a return home from care is safe and appropriate; and to strengthen our permanency planning arrangements which are already starting to have a positive impact.

The children's services improvement plan provides an overview of the considerable efforts being made to improve children's services that I shall not repeat here but I am pleased to report that we continue to reduce pressures on the placements budget (which is the budget at the core of your question) through improved management oversight and systems. We will continue to monitor progress through budget monitoring throughout 2023/24

I can also report that through improving practice and increased management oversight the rate at which children come into our care continues to fall.

**Question 2:**

**To: Cabinet Member, children and young people**

**Maggie Steel, Hereford**

In the Ofsted Monitoring Visit Feedback report we read:

*“By presenting this feedback letter to cabinet, we are putting the letter into the public domain which we believe to be the right thing to do and consistent with the open and transparent approach adopted by the new service leadership over the past eighteen months.”*

Meanwhile, the damning Report of the Commission to Consider Families’ Experience of Children’s Services has not been presented to Cabinet. Further, there has been no contact with affected families since its publication. The families asked on 7 June for an “urgent meeting” with the Leader and Cabinet member but no date has been offered and their cases are still not being reviewed.

The gap between public apologies and the ongoing silencing of families is sickening. When will the new Cabinet meet the affected families?

**Response**

The agenda for the Cabinet Meeting of 22 June 2023 was set in May 2023, prior to the publication of the Report of the Commission to Consider Families’ Experience of Children’s Services in Herefordshire.

The report was shared with all councillors on the day of publication. The report is a challenging and difficult read and the council is extremely grateful to the families who were able to share their experiences, and to the panel for their professional and sensitive approach in hearing the families and preparing their report.

On the day of publication, the Cabinet Member for Children and Young People and the Director of Children’s Services made statements acknowledging the report and thanking the families for sharing their experiences.

The Leader of the Council and the Cabinet Member for Children and Young People will meet with any families who shared their experiences with the Commission who would want to meet with them.

The Commission panel asked the council and the Herefordshire Safeguarding Children Partnership (HSCP) to consider seven questions posed by the report authors and this activity is underway. To take time to carefully reflect and make meaningful change is important and the Cabinet Member for children and young people will report back to cabinet in due course.

**Question 3:**

**To: Cabinet Member, children and young people**

**Ms. Currie, Hereford**

Given the significant implication there was profound abuses of power in the recent findings by the families commission report. What action is going to be offered to the families and children effected by this abuse?

**Response**

The council is grateful to the families who shared their experiences with the panel and is determined to learn lessons and make the necessary improvements.

Work has begun to consider the seven questions posed by the report authors to the council and the Herefordshire Safeguarding Children Partnership (HSCP) and the Leader of the Council and the Cabinet Member for children and young people have offered to meet with any of the families who shared their experiences with the panel who wish to meet them.

Wider improvement of children's services is monitored by the Improvement Board and by Ofsted inspectors (through the activity of monitoring visits).

**Question 4:**

**Liz Wallace, Herefordshire**

**To: Cabinet Member, community services and assets**

If the review goes ahead and the original site of Maylord Orchards remains as the chosen option, can the Committee confirm that this will proceed?

**Response**

The review will consider the best possible location for the proposed new library and learning resource centre. Should the cabinet conclude through the review that Maylord Orchard remains the best location, the intention would be for the project to proceed.

**Question 5:**

**Mrs Helen Astley, Herefordshire**

**To: Cabinet Member, community services and assets**

Herefordshire Local Government UNISON are extremely concerned about the impact on museum and library users regarding the decision to review the relocation of the library to Maylord Orchards.

UNISON are very concerned that this is an attempt to return to the conservatives previous plans to outsource museum services and significantly reduce Herefordshire libraries down to the bare minimum.

Could the cabinet member confirm that they are still committed to the cultural services transformation, including the review of community libraries, as agreed by the previous administration and part of the public consultation?

## Response

The council continues to be committed to the transformation of cultural services, we will continue to seek views from partners and staff, with both stakeholders will be fully engaged throughout the process.

### Question 6:

**Gemma Davies, Kings Caple, Herefordshire**

**To: Cabinet Member, community services and assets**

It is my understanding that the contracts not only for the glass ceiling but also the relocation works to Maylord orchards, in preparation for the relocation of the library have been awarded. Please could you confirm all costs already incurred in preparation of the site including surveys, feasibility studies, relocation of previous tenants, communications, planning application costs and other relevant costs; the current and expected costs of delaying any works for this review. This should include any potential retendering of the works.

Please ensure that this are in an accessible format and broken down per cost.

## Response

A contractor is currently on site to undertake maintenance to the roof, but these works are required for the existing operation of the building and not specific to the Library and Learning Resource Centre project.

The following provides a further breakdown of the headline costs incurred to date as requested. At this stage it is not anticipated works will need to be re-tendered, subject to the outcome of the review.

<b>Work Element</b>	<b>Costs To Date</b>
<b>Design Works RIBA Stage 2,3,3+</b> Project Management Architect Structural Engineers Mechanical, Electrical, Plant Consultants Fire Engineer Consultant Principle Designer	£ 396,264.79
<b>Survey Works</b> Asbestos Survey Drainage Survey Revenue Business Case	£ 11,662.50
<b>Planning Application Fee</b> Planning Application Fee(Change of use)	£ 462.00
<b>Design Works RIBA Stage 4</b> Construction Partner(PC) Principle Designer	

Project Management Architect Structural Engineers Mechanical, Electrical, Plant Consultants Library Design Specialist	£ 118,740.02
<b>HC Internal Staffing Cost</b>	
PMO	£ 31,504.52
<b>Total</b>	£ 558,633.83

**Question 7:**

**Peter McKay, Leominster**

**To: Cabinet Member, Transport and Infrastructure**

May I please have an update as to when may expect to see the information regarding anomalies in the path records online, reference replies to my supplementary question of 29 June and question of 29 September 2022 ?

**Response**

Further to the request for an update in relation to the publication of the anomalies in the path records, the council data was created for internal use, it is a working document. Resource will be required to screen and validate the information and make available for the public. Whilst we recognise the potential benefit to the public, with the current resource available, the team are not able to progress due to other priorities and commitments.

**Question 8:**

**Nina Shields, Herefordshire**

**To: Cabinet Member, community services and assets**

Will the Council lose the grant funding if it pulls out of the Maylords project now?

**Response:**

At this stage the council is proposing to undertake a review of the best possible location for the library and learning resource centre, including in Maylord Orchard. Once the outcome of the review is known, should the proposed location change, we would need to discuss the continued availability of any grant funding with the Stronger Towns Board and the government.





**MEMBER QUESTIONS TO CABINET – 22 June 2023****Question 1****Cllr Liz Harvey, Ledbury North****To: cabinet member, Community services and assets**

The decision report states that: “other Town Investment Plan projects that were originally due to be clustered with the library in Maylord Orchards (Rural Media Digital Culture Hub, Powerhouse and Encore) to create a cultural hub are now locating elsewhere” and cites this as grounds for the review.

However, the full Strategic Business Case for the Stronger Towns Library and Learning Resource Centre published on the Council's website and approved by Cabinet in June 2022 makes it clear that changes in the other stronger towns project plans and in the council's own plans for Maylords have already been fully taken into account.

Why is the Cabinet Member not recognising these facts, and why are the guiding principles for undertaking the review not being made public at the point the case is being made for the need for the review itself?

**Response**

The cabinet paper cites this as one of a number of reasons for the review, alongside the continued high demand for retail space in the shopping centre. The draft City Centre Masterplan has also identified a range of needs for strategically significant sites, and there are now other options for redevelopment compared to when the project to relocate the library to Maylord Orchard was first identified. The detailed business case referenced is specific to the Maylord Orchard focussed project rather than considering other options in the city at the time. The strategic intent of the Town Investment Plan approved by government was to create a cultural hub in the city. The review is an opportunity to consider if this can still be achieved.

Should cabinet support the decision to undertake the review, the scope of the review will be finalised as soon as possible and circulated. The council will also seek the views of key partners as part of the review process.

